UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,694	05/19/2005	Thomas Matzler	SFS-PT061 (P0372US)	9244
3624 VOLPE AND I	7590 02/11/200 KOENIG. P.C.	EXAMINER		
UNITED PLAZ	ZA, SUITE 1600		SAETHER, FLEMMING	
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments	10/535,694	MATZLER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Flemming Saether	3677		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MERICAL STATE AND	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/535,694 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark (US 2,084,079) in view of Snape (US 3,811,872). Clark discloses a method of making a screw and screw wherein the steel is cold formed to form an interior engaging member (15, see Fig, 3). Clark does not disclose the specific steel alloy of the material. Snape discloses a high-strength steel which comprising C, Mo, Ni, Co, Ti, Al and Fe in amounts about which are within or within routine experimentation of the claimed amounts. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the steel used in Clark out of the alloy as disclosed in the Clark because Clark discloses a superior steel alloy which is suitable for use as a fastener. Alternatively, applying KSR rational, it would have been "obvious to try" cold forming the interengaging member as disclosed in Clark in the "ultra high-strength" material as disclosed in Clark because there would be a reasonable expectation of success since the materials of both Clark and the ASM Handbook are from a group of steel materials. (KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. ____, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1395-97 (2007); see MPEP 2142).

Response to Remarks

Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant argues, and the examiner agrees that the reference to ASM Handbooks Online is disqualified because of the date. Applicant however does not argue the content of ASM Handbook, in fact applicant seems to indicate that the content was known prior art to the applicant by the admission in response to the rule 105 request that what was known to the applicant was already of record in the ASM reference. It should be made clear, what is prior art and what is applicant's invention rather than arguing the date of a reference when what is taught in the reference may already be known prior art. It does little to expedite the prosecution of the application. Additionally, it should be recognized that the Snape reference teaches the same material as in the ASM Handbook Online and that the ASM Handbook Online cites many earlier sources.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 571-272-7071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor Batson can be reached on 571-272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,694 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Flemming Saether Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

/Flemming Saether/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3677